

South Florida Water Management District

SESS FORM F

Science & Engineering Support Services PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Page 1 of 2

<u>Instructions</u>: Performance evaluations shall be completed by the Project Manager for all Science and Engineering Support Services (SESS) Work Orders. The Project Manager shall complete performance evaluations at Work Order duration intervals of 90 days, 180 days and every 180 days thereafter for the term of the Work Order and at the time of Work Order completion. In addition, the Project Manager shall complete performance evaluations as needed whenever performance is "marginal" or "unsatisfactory" (after reasonable efforts have been taken by the District to improve performance) or at any other appropriate time.

Circle the appropriate ratings for Lines 1 through 8. Sum the individual ratings, by column, to produce the Individual Column Ratings on Line 9. Sum the Individual Column Ratings in Line 9 to produce the Total Rating Score on Line 10. Divide the Total Rating Score in Line 10 by the number 8 (the number of performance indicators in Lines 1-8) to produce the Average Rating Score in Line 11. Provide any additional detail in Lines 12 through 21, as deemed necessary, to support the ratings given in Lines 1 through 8. Additional space is available on Line 22 if needed.

Forward the evaluation form to the SESS Contract Manager for additional comments. The Contract Manager will forward to procurement for additional comments. The SESS Contract Manager will keep a Running Average Score of the Average Rating Scores (Line 11) for all evaluations completed at the aforementioned intervals, including those of separate Work Orders, for the entire term of the Contract. In the event the Running Average Score for a Firm falls below 3.0, the SESS Contract will be terminated for the remainder of the Contract Term.

for u	completed Performance Evaluation use in the evaluation process of fut upon a Public Records request pu	ure solicitations rele	eased by the Distric			
	ntract No.					
	cle Appropriate Rating for Qu		tal Columns in 1	Line 9 , Total Lin	e 9 in Line I	10, Average Line 10
<u>(div</u>	ide Line 10 by the number 8) i	<u>n Line 11.</u>		B		
	Performance Indicators	F .: 1	W C 1	Rating	36 1	
1	DI : 14 1	Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
1.	Planning and Approach	5	4	3	2	l
2.	Staff Capability	5	4	3	2	I 1
3.	Staff Effectiveness	5 5	4	3	2 2	1
4.	Flexibility in Meeting District Goals	3	4	3	2	1
5.	Promptness of Deliverables /	5	4	3	2	1
٥.	Milestones / Reports	3	7	3	2	1
6.	Report Quality	5	4	3	2	1
7.	Quality of Work Completed	5	4	3	2	1
8.	Contract Under or at Budgeted	5	4	3	2	1
	Cost	-	·	-	_	
9.	Individual Column Rating					
10.	Total Rating Score			<u> </u>		
11.	Average Rating Score					
Con	<u>nplete 12 – 20 for additional de</u>	<u>etail of items 1 –8</u>	<mark>8 above. Addition</mark>	al space is availa	ble on Item 2	1 of this form.
12.	Current tasks completed and/o	or deliverables rec	eived?	YES	NO	
	If no, reason:					
13	Current work completed ahead	d/on schedule:		YES	NO	# OF DAYS LATE
15.	<u>-</u>					_" of Billo Eille
	If no, reason:					
14.	Contract currently under/at bu	dgeted cost?		YES	NO \$	OVER
	•					
	If no, reason:					

15. Contractor strengths:

SESS

FORM F

Page 2 of 2

6. Contractor we	aknesses:				
Additional con	mments / recommendation	ons:			
Currently reco	mmend firm for future c	ontracts of this type?	YES NO PO	OSSIBLY (explain below)	
		Project Manager		Date	
		Division Director		Date	
efinitions of Ove					
	4 Very Good	3 Satisfactory	2 Marginal	1 Unsatisfactory	
Number	y additional comments co <u>Remarks</u>	anagement and Property or the number of the	ered question on Page	1 and/or Page 2:	
		Contract Specialist	t	Date	
ork Order Numb	oer	Evaluation Number	Evaluation Number/Score		
ork Order Numb	oer	Evaluation Number	Evaluation Number/Score Evaluation Number/Score		
ork Order Numb	per	Evaluation Number			
ork Orger Numb	per	Evaluation Number	/Score		
inning Average	Score				
		Contract Manager		Date	